UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,396	08/21/2006	Guy Dallaire	047976.00071	8699
34802 Gray Robinson	7590 03/20/200	9	EXAMINER	
ATTN: STEFAN V. STEIN/ IP DEPT.			ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON	
	201 N. Franklin Street, Suite 2200 Post Office Box 3324 TAMPA, FL 33601-3324		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAMPA, FL 33			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,396	DALLAIRE, GUY		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL L. ROBINSON	3742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS TO THE MAILING THE MAILING THE METERS THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 A</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6, 10, 12-33 is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	thdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Claims 1-5, 7-9 and 11 in the reply filed on 1-5-2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no larger search is required and therefore there is no additional burden. This is not found persuasive because not only is the search broader but the office actions required will be larger and more intensive. As per the previous office action the X reference cited in the international search precludes the existence, at this time, of special technical features common to all three inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sweeney et al.(U.S.Pat.6,645,537). Sweeney discloses a beverage filter cartridge that shows inter alia, an outer container (12) with a circular rim (22). The side wall has an upper section extending downwardly from the rim to an intermediate section, and a tapered lower section having circumferentially spaced flutes extending downwardly from the intermediate section to the bottom wall. A filter element (14) sub-divides the interior of the container into two chambers (A) and (B). A beverage medium is stored in the chamber (A). A cover

Application/Control Number: 10/590,396 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

(16) is joined to the side wall at the rim to close the upper opening. The cover (16) is pierceable to accommodate an injection of liquid into the chamber (A) for combination with the beverage medium to produce a beverage. The filter element (14) is permeable to accommodate a flow of the beverage from the chamber (A) into the chamber (B), and the bottom wall is pierceable to accommodate an outflow of the beverage from the chamber (B) to the exterior of the cartridge.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sweeney in view of St. Clair(U.S.Pat. 5,789.025). Sweeney does not explicitly show a silicone membrane. St. Clair discloses a moisture barrier membrane that explicitly shows a silicone membrane. It would have been obvious at the time of the claimed invention to use a silicone membrane as taught by St. Clair with the device of Sweeney because the silicone membrane repels water but allows water vapor to pass.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Robert, Chen, Lin and Cai are cited to show structure similar to the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,396 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL L. ROBINSON whose telephone number is (571)272-4788. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 5:30-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dlr/Daniel L Robinson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742